UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,987	08/16/2004	Makoto Izawa	22040-00038-US1	4986
30678 7590 07/31/2008 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP 1875 EYE STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			GELAGAY, SHEWAYE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/710,987	IZAWA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHEWAYE GELAGAY	2137
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/2</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 6 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2 and 5-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and.	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a control of the drawing not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the specific or declaration is objected to by the specific or declaration is objected to be specific or declaration is objected to be specific or declaration in the specific or declaration is objected to be specific or declaration or declaration in the specific or declaration is objected to be specific or declaration.	ecepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli iority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/710,987 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 20, 2008 has been entered.

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed May 20, 2008 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 5 recite the limitation "the plurality or ports". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/710,987 Page 3

Art Unit: 2136

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi et al. (hereinafter Yamaguchi) US Patent Number 5,604,807 in view of Keromytis et al. (hereinafter Keromytis) "Transparent Network Security Policy Enforcement", USENIX 2000 and in view of Hild et al. (hereinafter Hild) US 7,117,361. As per claims 1 and 5:

Yamaguchi teaches a central encryption management system, comprising:
a plurality of communications terminals for performing data communications;
(Figure 12, items 53 and 55)

an encryption apparatus which can be connected between the plurality of communications terminals; (Figure 12, item 54)

the apparatus including encryption/decryption means for performing an encrypting process and a decrypting process on data to terminate encryption-based security between the communications terminals having the encrypting capability and the non-encrypting capability; (Figure 12, item 76) and

a manager terminal for inputting various information for controlling encrypteddata communications into each of the encryption apparatus and the communications terminals remotely from the manager terminal over a network, so that settings for the encrypted data communications on each of the apparatus and the terminals are completed, wherein the various information includes at least one of the presence/absence of the encrypting/decrypting process, the communicability indicating that a packet is discarded between specific terminals, the encryption level, the time period for the encryption, the encryption policy for each division; (Figure 12, item 51; Figure 13; col. 3, line 62-col. 4, line 20; col. 12, lines 50-64; col. 13, line 60-col. 14, line 12)

Page 4

wherein the plurality of communications terminals, the manager terminal, and the encryption apparatus are connected via a cable or wireless network. (figure 12, item 52)

wherein the data has been received with one of the plurality of ports of the encryption apparatus and the encrypting or decrypting process has been performed on the data. (Figure 12, item 51; Figure 13; col. 3, line 62-col. 4, line 20; col. 12, lines 50-64; col. 13, line 60-col. 14, line 12)

Yamaguchi does not explicitly disclose wherein the encryption apparatus further includes bridge means for allowing data to be outputted as it is from another port without any routing process; and inputting information for the presence or absence of encryption/decryption process, the availability of packet communications, the encryption level, the time period to perform encryption, the encryption policy, and the encryption key into each of the encryption apparatus. Keromytis in analogous art, however, teaches wherein the encryption apparatus further includes bridge means for allowing data to be outputted as it is from another port without any routing process. (2.1 Layer-

Application/Control Number: 10/710,987

Art Unit: 2136

3Filtering; 2.2 Layer-2 Filtering; 2.4 Bridge Security; 3.Bridging and IPsec) Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Yamaguchi with Keromytis in order to provide transparent IPsec gateway capability for a host or even a network wherein the security gateway can act as a security policy enforcer, ensuring that incoming and outgoing packets are adequately protected, based on system or network policy. (1. Introduction; Keromytis)

Page 5

Both references do not explicitly disclose inputting information for the presence or absence of encryption/decryption process, the availability of packet communications, the encryption level, and the time period to perform encryption, the encryption policy, and the encryption key into each of the encryption apparatus. Hild in analogous art, however, discloses inputting information for the presence or absence of encryption/decryption process, the availability of packet communications, the encryption level, and the time period to perform encryption, the encryption policy, and the encryption key into each of the encryption apparatus. (Abstract; Col. 3, lines 23-56; col. 4, line 1-col. 5, line 54;col. 6, lines 50-67; col. 7, lines 32-55; col. 9, line 8-col. 10, line 45) Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Yamaguchi and Keromytis with Hild in order to provide a method of transmitting information data comprising confidential information data which is encrypted and non-confidential information data which uses the

Art Unit: 2136

security information to check the integrity of the information. (Abstract; col. 1, lines 5-17; Hild)

As per claim 2:

The combination of Yamaguchi, Keromytis and Hild teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Yamaguchi further discloses a central encryption management system wherein the encryption/decryption means performs the encrypting process and the decrypting process on data, so that the encryption apparatus receives and retransmits data in the form of encrypted data from and to the communications terminal having the encrypting capability, and the encryption apparatus receives and retransmits the data in the form of non-encrypted data from and to the communications terminal having no encrypting capability. (col. 12, lines 50-64)

As per claims 4 and 6:

The combination of Yamaguchi, Keromytis and Hild teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Yamaguchi further discloses a central encryption management system wherein the encryption apparatus further includes setting information storage means for storing the information inputted from the manager terminal, in which the inputted information is used when controlling the encrypting process and the decrypting process, and the encryption apparatus controls the encrypting process and the decrypting process by comparing the information stored in the setting information storage means with header information of a data packet of the data received with one of the plurality of ports. (col. 11, line 44-col. 12, line 45)

Application/Control Number: 10/710,987 Page 7

Art Unit: 2136

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHEWAYE GELAGAY whose telephone number is (571)272-4219. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 571-272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 2137

/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136